

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE ADVISERS

All the works presented to the Intellectual Property Journal shall be subject to the confidential assessment of two external experts of the writing board following the international criteria. The evaluation is anonymous or “blind” and the evaluators do not know the author’s identity just like the author does not know the evaluator’s identity either.

With the aim of systematize the evaluation of the collaborations, the writing board will deliver to the advisers one article evaluation form which contains all the aspects that have to be considered. The advisors should give an answer to the writing board on a written form within no more than 30 days, counted from the day the text was received.

The aspects that have to be considered by the advisers and that are written in the form are the following ones:

- The theme and topic of the articles must be relevant or related to the main content of the journal.
- The articles should follow the formal aspects: writing and spelling, references, syntax, and clarity in the style, among others.
- The originality of the works, which have to be unpublished and unedited with updated ideas and proposals.
- The accuracy of the title and its relation with the content of the work.
- The coherence in all the presented arguments. All the parts of the work must be articulated in relation to the main goals of the research.
- The presence of the construction method and Cartesian exhibition: introduction, development and conclusions.
- The topic must be relevant and offer substantial contributions to the scientific knowledge of the field.
- Presence of bibliographic references that show the degree of documentation used, and the bibliographic relevance.

The advisor should apply these rules for evaluating articles, especially the ones contained in the articles 28 from 32, and also the criteria that the writing board establishes. The advisors should approved or disapproved the works in relation to the evaluation form, taking into consideration scientific arguments that allowed the writing board to start a positive process of feedback with the authors, with the goal of keeping excellence and transparency of the scientific work.